1	Sanjay S. Schmidt (SBN 247475)	
2	LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHM 1388 Sutter Street, Suite 810	IDT
3	San Francisco, CA 94109 Tel. (415) 563-8583 Fax (415) 223-9717 e-mail: ss@sanjayschmidtlaw.com	
4		
5		
6	Panos Lagos (SBN 61821) LAW OFFICES OF PANOS LAGOS	
7	6569 Glen Oaks Way	
8	Oakland, CA 94611	
9	e-mail: panos@panoslagoslaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
10		
11		
12	THERESE L. LESHER	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14		
15	EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	THERESE L. LESHER,) Case No. 2:21-cv-00386-WBS-DMC
	Plaintiff,) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
17	Trantini,) REQUEST TO SEAL DOCUMENT
18	Vs.) PURSUANT TO L.R. 141
19	CITY OF ANDERSON, et al.,)
20	, ,)
21	Defendants.)
		<u> </u>
22		
23	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's Request to Seal her Motion in Limine No. 1.	
24	I. RELEVANT BACKGRO	OUND
25	Plaintiff's action is brought pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the	
26	United States Constitution. Plaintiff has further invoked the supplemental jurisdiction of thi	
27	Court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), to hear and decide claims arising under state law. Bot	
28	parties have demanded a jury trial which	is set to proceed on July 22, 2025, before the Honorable

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SEAL DOCUMENT PURSUANT TO L.R. 141 Lesher v. City of Anderson, et al. 1

William B. Shubb.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 contains information designated as Protected Material pursuant to the Court's August 3, 2021, protective order (ECF No. 22). Specifically, Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 contains information from one of the law enforcement officer's personnel file.

II. **LEGAL STANDARD**

Local Rule 141 governs requests to seal documents. E.D. Cal. L.R. 141. That rule provides that documents may be sealed by order of the court upon the showing required by law. L.R. 141(a). It requires the party making the request to "set forth the statutory or other authority for sealing, the requested duration, the identity, by name or category, of persons to be permitted access to the other documents, and all other relevant information." L.R. 141(b). The "showing required by law" referred to by our Local Rule is a high one. The court operates under a strong presumption in favor of access to court records. Ctr. For Auto Safety v. Chrysler Group, LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096 (2016). Accordingly, a party seeking to file something under seal must present "compelling reasons" supporting the request. Id. The compelling reasons standard requires the court to: (1) find a compelling reason supporting sealing the record; and, (2) articulate the factual basis for sealing the record, without relying on hypothesis or conjecture. Id. at 1096-97. The court must conscientiously balance the competing interests of the public and the party who wishes to keep the documents private. *Id.* at 1097. "What constitutes a 'compelling reason' is 'best left to the sound discretion of the trial court.' " Id. (quoting Nixon v. Warner Commnc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 599 (1978)). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(d), a court "may order that a filing be made under seal without redaction." *Id*.

III. **DISCUSSION**

Plaintiff's Request to Seal her Motion in Limine No. 1 asserts that her Motion in Limine No. 1 contains information designated as Protected Material pursuant to the Court's August 3, 2021, protective order (ECF No. 22). Specifically, Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 contains information from one of the law enforcement officer's personnel file.

Case 2:21-cv-00386-WBS-DMC Document 128 Filed 07/09/25 Page 3 of 3

Pursuant to Penal Code section 832.7(a), "...the personnel records of peace officers and custodial officers and records maintained by a state or local agency pursuant to Section 832.5, or information obtained from these records, are confidential and shall not be disclosed in any criminal or civil proceeding except by discovery pursuant to Sections 1043 and 1046 of the Evidence Code."

The court has reviewed Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 in camera and finds that the Motion does contain Protected Material pursuant to the Court's August 3, 2021, protective order (ECF No. 22). Further, disclosing the Protected Material to the public would violate Penal Code section 832.7(a). These considerations justify the requested filing of Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 under seal. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Request to file her Motion in Limine No. 1 under seal is granted.

IV. **ORDER**

Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's Request to Seal her Motion in Limine No. 1 is granted;
- 2. The Clerk is instructed to file Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 under seal;
- 3. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 1 shall be maintained under seal until the conclusion of this case and any appellate proceedings, at which time only the Court will have access to them.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Dated: July 9, 2025

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Shitt

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3